

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : H01M 4/92, 8/10	A2	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/69009
		(43) International Publication Date: 16 November 2000 (16.11.00)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/11327
(22) International Filing Date: 27 April 2000 (27.04.00)
(30) Priority Data:
60/131,283 27 April 1999 (27.04.99) US
09/558,676 26 April 2000 (26.04.00) US
(71) Applicant: SYMYX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [US/US]; 3100 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95051 (US).
(72) Inventor: GORER, Alexander; Symyx Technologies, Inc., 3100 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95051 (US).
(74) Agents: HEJLEK, Edward, J. et al.; Senniger, Power, Leavitt and Roedel, 16th floor, One Metropolitan Square, St. Louis, MO 63102 (US).

(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published


Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.

(54) Title: PLATINUM-RUTHENIUM-PALLADIUM-OSMIUM ALLOY FOR USE AS A FUEL CELL CATALYST

(57) Abstract

An improved noble metal alloy composition for a fuel cell catalyst, an alloy composition containing platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium. The alloy shows increased activity as compared to well-known catalysts.

Current Density as a Function of Voltage
Measured in Aqueous Methanol (0.1M) and H₂SO₄ (0.5M)



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

PLATINUM-RUTHENIUM-PALLADIUM-OSMIUM ALLOY
FOR USE AS A FUEL CELL CATALYST

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTIONField of The Invention

5 The present invention relates to noble metal alloy catalysts, especially to platinum, palladium, ruthenium and osmium alloy catalysts, which are useful in fuel cell electrodes and other catalytic structures.

Background Information

10 A fuel cell is an electrochemical device for directly converting the chemical energy generated from an oxidation-reduction reaction of a fuel such as hydrogen or hydrocarbon-based fuels and an oxidizer such as oxygen gas (in air) supplied thereto into a low-voltage direct current.
15 Thus, fuel cells chemically combine the molecules of a fuel and an oxidizer without burning, dispensing with the inefficiencies and pollution of traditional combustion.

 A fuel cell is generally comprised of a fuel electrode (anode), an oxidizer electrode (cathode), an
20 electrolyte interposed between the electrodes (alkaline or acidic), and means for separately supplying a stream of fuel and a stream of oxidizer to the anode and the cathode, respectively. In operation, fuel supplied to the anode is oxidized releasing electrons which are conducted via an
25 external circuit to the cathode. At the cathode the supplied electrons are consumed when the oxidizer is reduced. The current flowing through the external circuit can be made to do useful work.

 There are several types of fuel cells, including:
30 phosphoric acid, molten carbonate, solid oxide, potassium hydroxide, and proton exchange membrane. A phosphoric acid fuel cell operates at about 160-220°C, and preferably at about 190-200°C. This type of fuel cell is currently being used for multi-megawatt utility power generation and for co-
35 generation systems (i.e., combined heat and power generation) in the 50 to several hundred kilowatts range.

In contrast, proton exchange membrane fuel cells use a solid proton-conducting polymer membrane as the electrolyte. Typically, the polymer membrane must be maintained in a hydrated form during operation in order to prevent loss of ionic conduction which limits the operation temperature typically to about 70-120°C depending on the operating pressure, and preferably below about 100°C. Proton exchange membrane fuel cells have a much higher power density than liquid electrolyte fuel cells (e.g., phosphoric acid), and can vary output quickly to meet shifts in power demand. Thus, they are suited for applications such as in automobiles and small scale residential power generation where quick startup is required.

Conventional fuel cells use hydrogen gas as the fuel. Pure hydrogen gas, however, is difficult and costly to supply. Thus, hydrogen gas is typically supplied to a fuel cell using a reformer, which steam-reforms methanol and water at 200-300°C to a hydrogen-rich fuel gas containing carbon dioxide. Theoretically, the reformat gas consists of 75 vol% hydrogen and 25 vol% carbon dioxide. In practice, however, this gas also contains nitrogen, oxygen and, depending on the degree of purity, varying amounts of carbon monoxide (up to 1 vol%). This process is also complex, adds cost and has the potential for producing undesirable pollutants. The conversion of a liquid fuel directly into electricity would be desirable, as then a high storage density, system simplicity and retention of existing fueling infrastructure could be combined. In particular, methanol is an especially desirable fuel because it has a high energy density, a low cost and is produced from renewable resources. Thus, a relatively new type of fuel cell has been the subject of a great amount of interest - the direct methanol fuel cell. In a direct methanol fuel cell, the overall process that occurs is that methanol and oxygen react to form water and carbon dioxide and electricity, i.e., methanol combustion.

For the oxidation and reduction reactions in a fuel cell to proceed at useful rates, especially at operating temperatures below about 300 °C, electrocatalyst

materials are required at the electrodes. Initially, fuel cells used electrocatalysts made of a single metal, usually platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), rhodium (Rh), iridium (Ir), osmium (Os), silver (Ag) or gold (Au) because they are able to withstand the corrosive environment - platinum being the most efficient and stable single-metal catalyst for fuel cells operating below about 300°C. While these elements were first used in solid form, later techniques were developed to disperse these metals over the surface of electrically conductive supports (e.g., carbon black) to increase the surface area of the catalyst which in turn increased the number of reactive sites leading to improved efficiency of the cell. Nevertheless, fuel cell performance typically declines over time because the presence of electrolyte, high temperatures and molecular oxygen dissolve the catalyst and/or sinter the dispersed catalyst by surface migration or dissolution/re-precipitation (see, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 5,316,990).

Although platinum is a good catalyst, concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO) above about 10 ppm in the fuel can rapidly poison the catalyst surface. As a result, platinum is a poor catalyst if the fuel stream contains carbon monoxide (e.g., reformed-hydrogen gas typically exceeds 100 ppm). Liquid hydrocarbon-based fuels (e.g., methanol) present an even greater poisoning problem. Specifically, the surface of the platinum becomes blocked with the adsorbed intermediate, carbon monoxide (CO). It has been reported that H₂O plays a key role in the removal of such poisoning species in accordance with the following reactions:



As indicated by the foregoing reactions, the methanol is adsorbed and partially oxidized by platinum on the surface of the electrode (2). Adsorbed OH, from the hydrolysis of water (3), reacts with the adsorbed CO to produce carbon dioxide and a proton. However, platinum does not adsorb H₂O species well at the potentials fuel cell electrodes operate

(e.g., 200 mV-1.5 V). As a result, step (3) is the slowest step in the sequence, limiting the rate of CO removal thereby poisoning the catalyst. This applies in particular to a Proton exchange membrane fuel cell which is especially sensitive to CO poisoning as a result of its low operating temperatures.

One technique for alleviating fuel cell performance reduction due to anode CO poisoning is to employ an anode electrocatalyst which is itself more poison tolerant, but which still functions as a hydrogen oxidation catalyst in the presence of carbon monoxide. It is known that the tolerance of platinum poisoning by carbon monoxide is improved by alloying the platinum with ruthenium, preferably compositions centered around 50:50 atomic ratio (see, e.g., D. Chu and S. Gillman, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 1996, 143, 1685).

It has been reported that the success of the platinum-ruthenium catalyst alloys is based on the ability of ruthenium to adsorb H_2O species at potentials where methanol is adsorbing on the platinum and facilitate the carbon monoxide removal reaction. This dual function, that is, to adsorb both reactants on the catalyst surface on adjacent metal sites, is known as the bifunctional mechanism in accordance with the following reaction:



It has been suggested that having platinum and ruthenium in adjacent sites forms an active site on the catalyst surface where methanol is oxidized in a less poisoning manner because the adjacent metal atoms are more efficiently adsorbing the methanol and the water reactants.

Although knowledge of phase equilibria and heuristic bond strength/activity relationships provide some guidance in the search for more effective catalyst compositions, there is at present no way to calculate the chemical composition of different metals that will afford the best catalyst activity for the direct methanol-air fuel cell reaction. As such, the search continues for stable, CO poisoning resistant and less costly catalysts having increased electrochemical activities.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Among the objects of the invention are the preparation of catalysts based on platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium which have a high resistance to poisoning by carbon monoxide thereby improving the efficiency of a fuel cell, decreasing the size of a fuel cell and reducing the cost of operating a fuel cell.

Briefly, therefore, the present invention is directed to a catalyst composition for use in electrochemical reactor devices. The catalyst composition comprises platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

Also, the present invention is directed to a metal alloy composition comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

Additionally, the present invention is directed to a fuel cell electrode comprising a catalyst dispersed on the surface of an electrically conductive support, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

The present invention is further directed a fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane between the anode and the cathode, and an electrocatalyst for the catalytic oxidation of a hydrogen-containing fuel. The electrocatalyst comprises a metal alloy comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

In yet another aspect, the present invention is directed to a method for the electrochemical conversion of a hydrocarbon-based fuel and oxygen to water, carbon dioxide and electricity in a fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane electrolyte therebetween, and an electrically conductive external circuit connecting the anode and cathode. The method comprising contacting the hydrocarbon-based fuel with a metal alloy catalyst to catalytically oxidize the fuel, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

The foregoing and other features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the following description and accompanying drawing.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING

5 Fig. 1 is a schematic structural view showing essential members of a methanol fuel cell.

 Fig. 2 is a side view of a methanol fuel cell.

 Fig. 3 is a graph comparing the catalytic activity, at a constant voltage and as a function of time,
10 of several alloy compositions including PtRuPdOs alloys (Electrodes #2-16), PtPd binary alloys (Electrodes #17 and #18), and a PtRuPd ternary alloy (Electrode #1). The alloy compositions on Electrodes #1, #17 and #18 are not PtRuPdOs alloys - as such they are not within the scope of the
15 claimed invention.

 Fig. 4 is a graph comparing the catalytic activity of a PtRuPdOs alloy composition to a PtRuPd ternary alloy composition and a PtRu binary composition as a function of voltage.

20 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

 The present invention is directed to a multi-component noble metal alloy for use in fuel cells. In particular, the present invention is directed to alloy compositions comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and
25 osmium. Surprisingly, platinum-ruthenium-palladium-osmium alloy compositions possess significantly improved catalytic activity over platinum-ruthenium binary alloys and even previously reported platinum-ruthenium-palladium ternary alloys.

30 In general, the concentration of osmium in the alloy is at least about 1 atomic percent, and preferably at least about 4 atomic percent. The concentration of osmium is typically less than about 30 atomic percent, and preferably less than about 25 atomic percent. Preferably,
35 the concentration of osmium is from about 4 atomic percent to about 16 atomic percent, and more preferably from about 5 atomic percent to about 14 atomic percent. The

concentration of platinum in the alloy is typically from about 20 atomic percent to about 60 atomic percent, preferably from about 25 atomic percent to about 55 atomic percent, more preferably from about 35 atomic percent to about 55 atomic percent, and still more preferably from about 40 atomic percent to about 50 atomic percent. In general, the concentration of ruthenium in the alloy from about 10 atomic percent to about 40 atomic percent, preferably from about 15 atomic percent to about 35 atomic percent, and more preferably from about 15 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent. The concentration of palladium in the alloy is typically from about 5 atomic percent to about 35 atomic percent, preferably from about 10 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent, more preferably from about 15 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent, and still more preferably from about 20 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent.

Experience to date suggests that when the combined concentrations of platinum and osmium are controlled within a prescribed range, the alloy possesses significantly improved catalytic activity over certain platinum-ruthenium binary alloys and even certain previously reported platinum-ruthenium-palladium ternary alloys. As such, improved catalytic activity has been observed when the combined concentrations of platinum and osmium are controlled such that the sum is from about 25 atomic percent to about 85 atomic percent, preferably from about 30 atomic percent to about 80 atomic percent, more preferably from about 35 atomic percent to about 75 atomic percent, still more preferably from about 40 atomic percent to about 70 percent, and even more preferably between about 45 atomic percent and about 65 atomic percent. Alternatively, the foregoing improvement in catalytic activity has been observed by controlling the combined concentrations of ruthenium and palladium such that the sum is from about 15 atomic percent to about 75 atomic percent, preferably from about 20 atomic percent to about 70 atomic percent, more preferably from about 25 atomic percent to about 65 atomic percent, still more preferably from about 30

atomic percent to about 60 percent, and even more preferably between about 35 atomic percent and about 55 atomic percent.

In one embodiment of the present invention, therefore, the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 20% to about 60% platinum, about 10% to about 40% ruthenium, about 5% to about 35% palladium, and less than about 30% osmium. In another embodiment of the present invention the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 25% to about 55% platinum, about 15% to about 35% ruthenium, about 10% to about 30% palladium, and less than about 25% osmium. In another embodiment of the present invention, the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 35% to about 55% platinum, about 15% to about 35% ruthenium, about 15% to about 30% palladium, and about 4% to about 16% osmium. In a further embodiment of the present invention, the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 40% to about 50% platinum, about 15% to about 30% ruthenium, about 20% to about 30% palladium, and about 5 to about 14% osmium. Specific alloys which have been found to exhibit a relatively high methanol oxidation activity include the alloys corresponding the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x, y and z have the following values.

25

30

x	y	z
43	22	24
39	28	28
51	15	20
36	32	28
54	14	18
52	15	15
55	15	14
54	16	10
53	16	10

Although the PtRuPdOs alloy compositions of the present invention can be used in a phosphoric acid fuel cell, they are particularly useful in a direct methanol fuel cell. As shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, a direct methanol fuel cell has a methanol electrode (fuel electrode or anode) 2 and an air electrode (oxidizer electrode or cathode) 3. In between the electrodes, a proton exchange membrane 3 serves as an electrolyte.

Preferably, in a fuel cell according to the present invention, the proton exchange membrane 1, the anode 2 and the cathode 3 are integrated into one body, and thus there is no contact resistance between the electrodes 2 and 3 and the proton exchange membrane 1. Current collectors 4 and 5 are at the anode and cathode, respectively. A methanol fuel chamber is indicated by numeral 8 and an air chamber is indicated by numeral 9. Numeral 6 is a sealant for the methanol fuel chamber and numeral 7 is a sealant for the air chamber. It is desirable to use a strongly acidic ion exchange membrane (e.g., perfluorosulphonic acid based membranes are widely used).

In general, electricity is generated by methanol combustion (i.e., methanol and oxygen react to form water, carbon dioxide and electricity). This is accomplished in the above-described fuel cell by introducing the methanol into the methanol fuel chamber 8, while oxygen, preferably air is introduced into the air chamber 9, whereby an electric current can be immediately withdrawn therefrom into an outer circuit. Ideally, the methanol is oxidized at the anode to produce carbon dioxide gas, hydrogen ions and electrons. The thus formed hydrogen ions migrate through the strongly acidic proton exchange membrane 1 and react with oxygen and electrons from the outer circuit at the cathode 3 to form water. Typically, the methanol is introduced as a dilute acidic solution to enhance the chemical reaction thereby increasing power output (e.g., a 0.1 M methanol/0.5 M sulfuric acid solution).

Typically, the proton exchange membranes must remain hydrated during operation of the fuel cell in order to prevent loss of ionic conduction, thus the membrane is

preferably heat-resistant up to about 100-120°C. Proton exchange membranes usually have reduction and oxidation stability, resistance to acid and hydrolysis, sufficiently low electrical resistivity (e.g., $<10 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$), and low hydrogen or oxygen permeation. Additionally, proton exchange membranes are usually hydrophilic, this ensures proton conduction (by reversed diffusion of water to the anode), and prevents the membrane from drying out thereby reducing the electrical conductivity. For the sake of convenience, the layer thickness of the membranes is typically between 50 and 200 μm . In general, the foregoing properties are achieved with materials which have no aliphatic hydrogen-carbon bonds, which, for example, is achieved by replacing hydrogen with fluorine or by the presence of aromatic structures; the proton conduction results from the incorporation of sulfonic acid groups (high acid strength). Suitable proton-conducting membranes also include perfluorinated sulfonated polymers such as Nafion® and its derivatives produced by E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Delaware. Nafion® is based on a copolymer made from tetrafluoroethylene and perfluorovinylether, and is provided with sulfonic groups working as ion-exchanging groups. Other suitable proton exchange membranes are produced with monomers such as perfluorinated compounds (e.g., octafluorocyclobutane and perfluorobenzene), or even monomers with C-H bonds which, in a plasma polymer, do not form any aliphatic H atoms which could constitute attack sites for oxidative breakdown.

In general, the electrodes of the present invention comprise an electrically conductive material and are in contact with the PdRuPtOs catalyst of the present invention. The electrically conductive support is typically inorganic, preferably a carbon support. The carbon supports may be predominantly amorphous or graphitic. They may be prepared commercially, or specifically treated to increase their graphitic nature (e.g., heat treated at a high temperature in vacuum or in an inert gas atmosphere) thereby increasing corrosion resistance. For example, it may be oil furnace black, acetylene black, graphite paper, carbon

fabric or carbon aerogel. Preferably, the electrode is designed to increase cell efficiency by enhancing contact between the reactant (i.e., fuel or oxygen), the electrolyte and the electrocatalyst. In particular, porous or gas
5 diffusion electrodes are typically used since they allow the fuel/oxidizer to enter the electrode from the face of the electrode exposed to the reactant gas stream (back face), and the electrolyte to penetrate through the face of the electrode exposed to the electrolyte (front face), and
10 products, particularly water to diffuse out of the electrode. Preferably, carbon black supports have a Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) surface area of between 0 and 2000 m²/g, and preferably between 30 and 400 m²/g, more preferably between 60 to 250 m²/g. On the other hand, the
15 carbon aerogel preferably has an electrical conductivity of between 10⁻² and 10³ Ω⁻¹•cm⁻¹ and a density of between 0.06 and 0.7 g/cm³; the pore size is between 20 and 100 nm (porosity up to about 95%).

Preferably, the proton exchange membrane,
20 electrodes and catalyst materials are in contact. This is generally accomplished by depositing the catalyst either on the electrode, or the proton exchange membrane, and then the electrode and membrane placed in contact. The alloy catalysts of this invention can be deposited on either
25 substrate by a variety of methods, including, plasma deposition, powder application, chemical plating, and sputtering. Plasma deposition generally entails depositing a thin layer (e.g., between 3 and 50 μm, preferably between 5 and 20 μm) of a catalyst composition on the membrane using
30 low-pressure plasma. By way of example, an organic platinum compound such as trimethylcyclopentadienylplatinum is gaseous between 10⁻⁴ and 10 mbar and can be excited using radio-frequency, microwaves or an electron cyclotron resonance transmitter to deposit platinum on the membrane.
35 According to another procedure, catalyst powder is distributed onto the proton exchange membrane surface and integrated at an elevated temperature under pressure. If, however, the amount of catalyst particles exceeds about 2 mg/cm² the inclusion of a binder such as

polytetrafluoroethylene is common. Further, the catalyst may be plated with dispersed relatively small particles, e.g., about 20-200 Å, more preferably about 20-100 Å. This increases the catalyst surface area which in turn increases the number of reaction sites leading to improved cell efficiency. In one such chemical plating process, for example, a powdery carrier material such as conductive carbon black is contacted with an aqueous solution or aqueous suspension (slurry) of compounds of metallic components constituting the alloy to permit adsorption or impregnation of the metallic compounds or their ions on or in the carrier. Then, while the slurry is stirred at high speed, a dilute solution of suitable fixing agent such as ammonia, hydrazine, formic acid or formalin is slowly added dropwise to disperse and deposit the metallic components on the carrier as insoluble compounds or partly reduced fine metal particles.

The surface concentration of catalyst on the membrane or electrode is based in part on the desired power output and cost for a particular fuel cell. In general, power output increases with increasing concentration, however, there is a level beyond which performance is not improved. Likewise, the cost of a fuel cell increases with increasing concentration. Thus, the surface concentration of catalyst is selected to meet the application requirements. For example, a fuel cell designed to meet the requirements of a demanding application such as an outer space vehicle will usually have a surface concentration of catalyst sufficient to maximize the fuel cell power output. Preferably, the desired power output is obtained with as little catalyst as possible. Typically, it is desirable that about 0.25 to about 6 mg/cm² of catalyst particles be in contact with the electrodes. If the surface concentration of catalyst particles is less than about 0.25 mg/cm², the cell performance usually declines, whereas, above about 6 mg/cm² the cell performance is usually not improved.

To promote contact between the collector, electrode, catalyst and membrane, the layers are usually compressed at high temperature. The housings of the

individual fuel cells are configured in such a way that a good gas supply is ensured, and at the same time the product water can be discharged properly. Typically, several fuel cells are joined to form stacks, so that the total power
5 output is increased to economically feasible levels.

In general, the catalyst and electrodes of the present invention may be used to catalyze any fuel containing hydrogen (e.g., hydrogen and reformed-hydrogen fuels). The improved catalytic activity of the PtRuPdOs
10 alloys, however, are particularly realized in the catalysis of hydrocarbon-based fuels. Applicable hydrocarbon-based fuels include saturated hydrocarbons such as methane (natural gas), ethane, propane and butane; garbage off-gas; oxygenated hydrocarbons such as methanol and ethanol; and
15 fossil fuels such as gasoline and kerosene; and mixtures thereof. The most preferred fuel, however, is methanol.

To achieve the full ion-conducting property of proton exchange membranes, suitable acids (gases or liquids) are typically added to the fuel. For example, SO_2 , SO_3 ,
20 sulfuric acid, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid or the fluoride thereof, also strongly acidic carboxylic acids such as trifluoroacetic acid, and volatile phosphoric acid compounds may be used (see, e.g., "Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.", Volume 98 (1994), pages 631 to 635).

25 Definitions

Activity is defined as the maximum sustainable, or steady state, current (Amps) obtained from the catalyst, when fabricated into an electrode, at a given electric potential, or efficiency (Volts). Additionally, because of
30 differences in the geometric area of electrodes, when comparing different catalysts, activity is often expressed in terms of current density (A/cm^2).

Example 1

A tremendous amount of research has concentrated
35 on exploring the activity of surface modified binary, and to a much lesser extent ternary and quaternary, alloys of platinum in an attempt to both increase the efficiency of and reduce the amount of precious metals in the anode part

of the fuel cell. Although electrodeposition was explored as a route to the synthesis of anode materials (see, e.g., F. Richarz et al. *Surface Science*, 1995, 335, 361), only a few compositions were actually prepared, and these
5 compositions were made using traditional single point electrodeposition techniques.

In contrast, the catalyst alloy compositions of this invention were prepared using the combinatorial techniques disclosed in U.S. Patent Application No.
10 09/119,187, filed July 20, 1998. Specifically, an array of independent electrodes (with areas of between about 1 and 2 mm²) were fabricated on inert substrates (e.g., glass, quartz, sapphire alumina, plastics, and thermally treated silicon). The individual electrodes were located
15 substantially in the center of the substrate, and were connected to contact pads around the periphery of the substrate with wires. The electrodes, associated wires, and contact pads were fabricated from conducting materials (e.g., gold, silver, platinum, copper or other commonly used
20 electrode materials). In a preferred embodiment, the arrays were fabricated on standard 3" (about 7.5 cm) thermally oxidized single crystal silicon wafers, and the electrodes were gold with surface areas of about 1.26 mm².

A patterned insulating layer covered the wires and an
25 inner portion of the peripheral contact pads, but left the electrodes and the outer portion of the peripheral contact pads exposed (preferably approximately half of the contact pad is covered with this insulating layer). Because of the insulating layer, it is possible to connect a lead (e.g., an
30 alligator clip) to the outer portion of a given contact pad and address its associated electrode while the array is immersed in solution, without having to worry about reactions that can occur on the wires or peripheral contact pads. The insulating layer may be, for example, glass,
35 silica, alumina, magnesium oxide, silicon nitride, boron nitride, yttrium oxide, titanium dioxide, hardened photoresist, or other suitable material known to be insulating in nature.

Once a suitable inert substrate was provided, in this case thermally oxidized single crystal silicon was selected, photolithographic techniques were used to design and fabricate electrode patterns on it. By applying a
5 predetermined amount of photoresist to the substrate, photolyzing preselected regions of the photoresist, removing those regions that have been photolyzed (e.g., by using an appropriate developer), depositing one or more metals over the entire surface and removing predetermined regions of
10 these metals (e.g. by dissolving the underlying photoresist), intricate patterns of individually addressable electrodes were fabricated on the substrate.

The fabricated arrays consisted of a plurality of individually addressable electrodes that were insulated from
15 each other (by adequate spacing) and from the substrate (fabricated on an insulating substrate), and whose interconnects were insulated from the electrochemical testing solution (by the hardened photoresist or other suitable insulating material).

20 Materials were deposited on the above described electrode arrays to prepare a library of compositions by the electrodeposition of species from solution using standard electrochemical methods. More specifically, the depositions were carried out by immersing the electrode array in a
25 standard electrochemical deposition chamber containing the array, a platinum mesh counter electrode, and a reference electrode (e.g., Ag/AgCl). The chamber was filled with a plating solution containing known amounts of source material to be deposited. By selecting a given electrode and
30 applying a predetermined potential for a predetermined amount of time, a particular composition of materials (which may or may not correspond to the exact composition of the plating solution) was deposited on the electrode surface. Variations in the compositions deposited may be obtained
35 either by directly changing the solution composition for each deposition or by using different electrochemical deposition techniques, or both. Examples of how one may change the electrode composition by changing the deposition technique can include: changing the deposition potential,

changing the length of the deposition time, varying the counter anions, using different concentrations of each species, and even using different electrochemical deposition programs (e.g., potentiostatic oxidation/reduction, galvanostatic oxidation/reduction, potential square-wave voltammetry, potential stair-step voltammetry, etc.). In any event, through repeated deposition steps, a variety of materials were deposited on the array.

After synthesizing the various alloy compositions on the array, the different alloys were screened for methanol oxidation to determine relative catalytic activity against a standard alloy composition.

Example 2

Using the procedures described in Example 1 to synthesize catalyst compositions by electrodeposition, the following aqueous stock solutions were prepared in 0.5 M sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4): 0.03 M platinum chloride (H_2PtCl_6), 0.05 M ruthenium chloride (RuCl_3), 0.03 M palladium chloride (PdCl_2), and 0.03 M osmium chloride (OsCl_3). The sulfuric acid merely served as an electrolyte thereby increasing the plating efficiency. A standard plating solution was created by combining 15 ml of the platinum chloride stock solution and 12 ml of the ruthenium chloride stock solution. The electrodes on the array were then immersed in the standard plating solution. A potential of -0.93 V vs Ag/AgCl was applied for 2 minutes to the first electrode (Electrode #17 in Fig. 3). The thickness of the layer deposited on the electrode ranged from about 1500 and about 2000 Å. The composition of the PtRu alloy plated under these conditions is represented by the formula $\text{Pt}_{0.65}\text{Ru}_{0.35}$.

To synthesize a PtRuPd alloy composition, an aliquot of the palladium chloride stock solution (e.g., 1 ml) was added to the standard PtRu plating solution and an electrode was then plated at -0.93 V vs. Ag/AgCl for 2 minutes (e.g. Electrode #1 corresponding to $\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$). Similarly, to synthesize a PtRuPdOs alloy composition, aliquots of palladium chloride and osmium chloride stock

solutions were added to the standard PtRu plating solution and an electrode was plated under the same conditions.

The amount of osmium in subsequently deposited alloys was increased by adding osmium chloride stock solution to the plating solution. Thus, a library of alloy compositions can be created by varying the relative amounts of different stock solutions in the plating solution (e.g., Electrodes #2-#16 in Fig. 3 were plated under identical conditions except that the relative amounts of the stock solutions were varied).

After synthesizing the various alloy compositions on the array, the different compositions were screened for methanol oxidation activity by placing the array into an electrochemical cell, which was filled with a room temperature solution of 1M methanol in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . The cell also contained in Hg/HgSO_4 reference electrode and a platinum mesh counter electrode. Chronoamperometry measurements (i.e., holding a given electrode at a given potential and measuring the current that passes as a function of time) were then performed on all of the electrodes by pulsing each individual electrode to a potential of 0.3 V vs NHE (Normal Hydrogen Electrode) and holding it there for about 6 minutes while monitoring and recording the current that flowed. Of particular interest were alloy compositions which showed improved catalytic activity as compared to PtRu binary alloys in general, and preferably PtRu binary alloys with a relatively high activity (e.g., $\text{Pt}_{0.65}\text{Ru}_{0.35}$ and $\text{Pt}_{0.50}\text{Ru}_{0.50}$). Of even greater interest were alloy compositions which showed improved catalytic activity as compared to PtRuPd ternary alloys in general, and preferably the PtRuPd ternary alloys with a relatively high activity (e.g., $\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$).

Also of interest is the current v. time slope for the electrodes, and in particular, Electrodes #4 and #5 compared to that of Electrode #1. Specifically, the slope of the data for Electrode #4 ($\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$) and Electrode #5 ($\text{Pt}_{0.39}\text{Ru}_{0.28}\text{Pd}_{0.28}\text{Os}_{0.05}$) is less steep than that for Electrode #1 ($\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$) which indicates that those PtRuPdOs alloys, in addition to being more active than the

PtRuPd alloy, may be more resistant to carbon monoxide poisoning than the PtRuPd alloy.

Several electroplated alloys were analyzed using x-ray fluorescence (XRF) to determine their compositions.

5 It is commonly accepted that chemical compositions determined using x-ray fluorescence are within about 5% of the actual composition. A comparison of relative oxidation current (normalized to the most active catalyst, the alloy on Electrode #4) for several of the alloy compositions in
10 the library is provided in Table 1.

TABLE 1

	Pt in alloy (atomic %)	Ru in alloy (atomic %)	Pd in alloy (atomic %)	Os in alloy (atomic %)	Relative Oxidation Current	Electrode #
15	43	22	24	11	1	4
	39	28	28	5	0.96	3
	51	15	20	14	0.96	5
	36	32	28	4	0.83	2
	30	40	30	0	0.81	1
20	54	14	18	14	0.61	6
	52	15	15	18	0.53	9
	55	15	14	16	0.52	7
	54	16	10	20	0.38	12
	53	15	10	22	0.35	14
25	65	35	0	0	0.23	17
	50	50	0	0	0.21	18

All quaternary alloys listed in the above table have a relative methanol oxidation activity above the $Pt_{0.65}Ru_{0.35}$ and $Pt_{0.5}Ru_{0.50}$ alloys (i.e., Electrodes #17 and
30 #18). Further, the above PtRuPdOs alloys which have a relative methanol oxidation activity above the $Pt_{0.30}Ru_{0.40}Pd_{0.30}$ alloy include: platinum at about 43%, ruthenium at about 22%, palladium at about 24%, and osmium at about 11%, the most preferred embodiment; platinum at about 39%, ruthenium
35 at about 28%, palladium at about 28%, and osmium at about 5%; platinum at about 51%, ruthenium at about 15%, palladium

at about 20%, and osmium at about 14%; platinum at about 36%, ruthenium at about 32%, palladium at about 28%, and osmium at about 4%.

Three of the foregoing electroplated alloys were
5 subjected to powder synthesizing to gain information
relevant to producing a fuel cell with dispersed catalyst
compositions of the present invention. First, the plating
solutions deposited on Electrodes #1, #4 and #5 were plated
on a larger area electrode (about 1 cm²) and the plated alloy
10 was mechanically removed and its x-ray fluorescence (XRF)
spectrum was measured. Then the alloys were synthesized in
powder form using a co-precipitation technique which entails
slow dropwise additions of a 0.2 M NaBH₄ solution into a
solution containing H₂PtCl₆, RuCl₃, PdCl₃, and OsCl₃. The
15 slurry was maintained at about 80°C for about 3 hours,
filtered, vigorously washed with distilled water, and dried
for about 4 hours at about 110°C. X-ray fluorescence was
applied to the synthesized powder and the resultant spectrum
was compared with that of the desired electroplated alloy.
20 When the XRF spectra are identical, the composition of the
powder and electroplate alloys are identical. Typically,
several iterations of alloy precipitation are necessary to
produce a powder alloy composition which corresponds to the
electroplated alloy. For the Electrode #1 alloy, a
25 precipitation solution containing 10 ml of 0.03 M H₂PtCl₆, 4
ml of 0.05 M RuCl₃, and 9 ml of 0.03 M PdCl₃ yielded a
powder alloy catalyst of identical composition. The alloy
powder was then analyzed with more precise techniques to
confirm its composition. Rutherford backscattering
30 spectroscopy determined that the chemical composition of the
precipitated powder alloys corresponding to Electrodes #1,
#4 and #5 were Pt_{0.3}Ru_{0.4}Pd_{0.3}, Pt_{0.43}Ru_{0.22}Pd_{0.24}Os_{0.11}, and
Pt_{0.51}Ru_{0.15}Pd_{0.20}Os_{0.14} respectively. It is commonly accepted
that the chemical compositions determined using the
35 Rutherford backscattering method are within about 2% of the
actual composition.

The activity of the most preferred alloy,
Pt_{0.43}Ru_{0.22}Pd_{0.24}Os_{0.11}, was also compared to that of Pt₆₅Ru₃₅ and
Pt_{0.30}Ru_{0.40}Pd_{0.30} as a function of increasing voltage (see, Fig.

4). Fig. 4 indicates that the $\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$ alloy oxidizes methanol at lower electrical potentials than the $\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$ alloy or the $\text{Pt}_{65}\text{Ru}_{35}$ alloy. Also, the $\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$ alloy has a greater catalytic activity for
5 a given potential than the binary or ternary alloys. Further, the difference in catalytic activity between the $\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$ alloy and the binary alloy or the ternary alloy increases with increasing voltage.

It is to be understood that the above description
10 is intended to be illustrative and not restrictive. Many embodiments will be apparent to those of skill in the art upon reading the above description. The scope of the invention should therefore be determined not with reference to the above description alone, but should also be
15 determined with reference to the claims and the full scope of equivalents to which such claims are entitled. The disclosures of all articles, patents and references, including patent applications and publications, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes..

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS

1. A catalyst composition for use in electrochemical reactor devices comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

2. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of osmium is from about 1% to about 25%.

3. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

4. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 25% to about 85%.

5. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 35% to about 75%.

6. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 45% to about 65%.

7. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 30% to about 60%.

8. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 20% to about 60%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 10% to about 40%, the concentration of palladium is from about 5% to about 35%, and the concentration of osmium is less than about 30%.

9. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 35% to about 55%, the concentration of ruthenium is from

about 15% to about 35%, the concentration of palladium is from about 15% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 4% to about 16%.

10. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 40% to about 50%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 30%, the concentration of palladium is from about 20% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

11. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is about 43%, the concentration of ruthenium is about 22%, the concentration of palladium is from about 24, and the concentration of osmium is about 11%.

12. A metal alloy composition comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

13. The metal alloy composition of claim 12 characterized by the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x is from about 0.25 to about 0.55, y is from about 0.15 to about 0.35, and z is from about 0.10 to about 0.30.

14. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the difference between 1 and the sum of x , y and z is at least about 0.04.

15. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the difference between 1 and the sum of y and z is from about 0.40 to about 0.70.

16. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the difference between 1 and the sum of y and z is from about 0.45 to about 0.65.

17. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the sum of y and z is from about 0.35 to about 0.55.

18. A metal alloy composition of claim 12 characterized by the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x is from about 0.40 to about 0.50, y is from about 0.15 to about 0.30, and z is from about 0.20 to about 0.30.

19. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where x is about 0.43, y is about 0.22, and z is about 0.24.

20. A fuel cell electrode, the electrode comprising a catalyst dispersed on the surface of an electrically conductive support, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

21. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of osmium is from about 4% to about 16%.

22. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 40% to about 70%.

23. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 30% to about 60%.

24. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 35% to about 55%.

25. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 20% to about 60%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 10% to about 40%, the concentration of palladium is from about 5% to about 35%, and the concentration of osmium is less than about 30%.

26. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about

35% to about 55%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 35%, the concentration of palladium is from about 15% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 4% to about 16%.

5 27. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 40% to about 50%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 30%, the concentration of palladium is from about 20% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

5 28. A fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane between the anode and the cathode, and an electrocatalyst for the catalytic oxidation of a hydrogen-containing fuel, the fuel cell characterized in that the electrocatalyst comprises a metal alloy comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

29. The fuel cell of claim 28 wherein the electrocatalyst is characterized by the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x is from about 0.20 to about 0.60, y is from about 0.10 to about 0.40, and z is from about 0.05 to about 0.35.

30. The fuel cell of claim 29 where the difference between 1 and the sum of y and z is from about 0.45 to about 0.65.

31. The fuel cell of claim 29 where the sum of y and z is from about 0.30 to about 0.60.

32. The fuel cell of claim 28 wherein the electrocatalyst is on the surface of the proton exchange membrane and in contact with the anode.

33. The fuel cell of claim 28 wherein the electrocatalyst is on the surface of the anode and in contact with the proton exchange membrane.

34. A method for the electrochemical conversion of a hydrocarbon-based fuel and oxygen to water, carbon dioxide and electricity in a fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane electrolyte
5 therebetween, an electrically conductive external circuit connecting the anode and cathode, the method comprising contacting the hydrocarbon-based fuel with a metal alloy catalyst to catalytically oxidize the fuel, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

35. The method of claim 34 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 40% to about 70%.

36. The method of claim 34 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 35% to about 55%.

37. The method of claim 34 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 40% to about 50%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 30%, the concentration of palladium is from about 20%
5 to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

FIG. 1






FIG. 2



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

FIG. 3


Methanol Oxidation Currents of Electroplated Alloy Compositions
-0.3 V vs. NHE



3 / 3

FIG. 4

Current Density as a Function of Voltage
Measured in Aqueous Methanol (0.1M) and H₂SO₄ (0.5M)



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
16 November 2000 (16.11.2000)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 00/69009 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **H01M 4/92**,
8/10, B01J 23/42, 23/46, 23/44, C22C 5/04

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US00/11327**

(22) International Filing Date: **27 April 2000 (27.04.2000)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:
60/131,283 27 April 1999 (27.04.1999) US
09/558,676 26 April 2000 (26.04.2000) US

(71) Applicant: **SYMYX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.** [US/US];
3100 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95051 (US).

(72) Inventor: **GORER, Alexander**; Symyx Technologies,
Inc., 3100 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95051
(US).

(74) Agents: **HEJLEK, Edward, J. et al.**; Senniger, Power,
Leavitt and Roedel, 16th floor, One Metropolitan Square,
St. Louis, MO 63102 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ,
BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK,
DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL,
IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU,
LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT,
RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA,
UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent
(AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent
(AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU,
MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:


— with international search report

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
19 July 2001

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: **PLATINUM-RUTHENIUM-PALLADIUM-OSMIUM ALLOY FOR USE AS A FUEL CELL CATALYST**

Current Density as a Function of Voltage
Measured in Aqueous Methanol (0.1M) and H₂SO₄ (0.5M)



(57) Abstract: An improved noble metal alloy composition for a fuel cell catalyst, an alloy composition containing platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium. The alloy shows increased activity as compared to well-known catalysts.

WO 00/69009 A3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 00/11327

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 H01M4/92 H01M8/10 B01J23/42 B01J23/46 B01J23/44
C22C5/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H01M B01J C22C

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, CHEM ABS Data, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 650 762 A (MASUMOTO TSUYOSHI ; INOE AKIHISA (JP); YKK CORP (JP); HONDA MOTOR C) 3 May 1995 (1995-05-03) claims 1-9	1,12,34
X	GB 2 056 424 A (JOHNSON MATTHEY CO LTD) 18 March 1981 (1981-03-18) claims 1-10	1,12,20
A	US 5 856 036 A (LEY KEVIN L ET AL) 5 January 1999 (1999-01-05) claims 1-6	1-37
A	EP 0 690 119 A (EXXON RESEARCH ENGINEERING CO) 3 January 1996 (1996-01-03) claims 1-11	1-37

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *Z* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 December 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

12/12/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Battistig, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 00/11327

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0650762 A	03-05-1995	JP 7116517 A DE 69422472 D DE 69422472 T US 5635439 A	09-05-1995 10-02-2000 27-07-2000 03-06-1997
GB 2056424 A	18-03-1981	CA 1152969 A DE 3029948 A FR 2462928 A JP 56070823 A SE 8005540 A	30-08-1983 26-02-1981 20-02-1981 13-06-1981 09-02-1981
US 5856036 A	05-01-1999	AU 6670398 A WO 9840161 A	29-09-1998 17-09-1998
EP 0690119 A	03-01-1996	NONE	

CORRECTED VERSION

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
16 November 2000 (16.11.2000)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 00/69009 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: H01M 4/92, 8/10, B01J 23/42, 23/46, 23/44, C22C 5/04

(72) Inventor: GORER, Alexander; Symyx Technologies, Inc., 3100 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95051 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/11327

(74) Agents: HEJLEK, Edward, J. et al.; Senniger, Power, Leavitt and Roedel, 16th floor, One Metropolitan Square, St. Louis, MO 63102 (US).

(22) International Filing Date: 27 April 2000 (27.04.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(26) Publication Language: English


(30) Priority Data:
60/131,283 27 April 1999 (27.04.1999) US
09/558,676 26 April 2000 (26.04.2000) US

(71) Applicant: SYMYX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [US/US];
3100 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95051 (US).

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: PLATINUM-RUTHENIUM-PALLADIUM-OSMIUM ALLOY FOR USE AS A FUEL CELL CATALYST



(57) Abstract: An improved noble metal alloy composition for a fuel cell catalyst, an alloy composition containing platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium. The alloy shows increased activity as compared to well-known catalysts.

WO 00/69009 A3



(AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent
(AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU,
MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— *with international search report*

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
19 July 2001

(48) Date of publication of this corrected version:

15 November 2001

(15) Information about Correction:

see PCT Gazette No. 46/2001 of 15 November 2001, Sec-
tion II

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

PLATINUM-RUTHENIUM-PALLADIUM-OSMIUM ALLOY
FOR USE AS A FUEL CELL CATALYST

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTIONField of The Invention

5 The present invention relates to noble metal alloy catalysts, especially to platinum, palladium, ruthenium and osmium alloy catalysts, which are useful in fuel cell electrodes and other catalytic structures.

Background Information

10 A fuel cell is an electrochemical device for directly converting the chemical energy generated from an oxidation-reduction reaction of a fuel such as hydrogen or hydrocarbon-based fuels and an oxidizer such as oxygen gas (in air) supplied thereto into a low-voltage direct current.
15 Thus, fuel cells chemically combine the molecules of a fuel and an oxidizer without burning, dispensing with the inefficiencies and pollution of traditional combustion.

 A fuel cell is generally comprised of a fuel electrode (anode), an oxidizer electrode (cathode), an
20 electrolyte interposed between the electrodes (alkaline or acidic), and means for separately supplying a stream of fuel and a stream of oxidizer to the anode and the cathode, respectively. In operation, fuel supplied to the anode is oxidized releasing electrons which are conducted via an
25 external circuit to the cathode. At the cathode the supplied electrons are consumed when the oxidizer is reduced. The current flowing through the external circuit can be made to do useful work.

 There are several types of fuel cells, including:
30 phosphoric acid, molten carbonate, solid oxide, potassium hydroxide, and proton exchange membrane. A phosphoric acid fuel cell operates at about 160-220°C, and preferably at about 190-200°C. This type of fuel cell is currently being used for multi-megawatt utility power generation and for co-
35 generation systems (i.e., combined heat and power generation) in the 50 to several hundred kilowatts range.



In contrast, proton exchange membrane fuel cells use a solid proton-conducting polymer membrane as the electrolyte. Typically, the polymer membrane must be maintained in a hydrated form during operation in order to prevent loss of ionic conduction which limits the operation temperature typically to about 70-120°C depending on the operating pressure, and preferably below about 100°C. Proton exchange membrane fuel cells have a much higher power density than liquid electrolyte fuel cells (e.g., phosphoric acid), and can vary output quickly to meet shifts in power demand. Thus, they are suited for applications such as in automobiles and small scale residential power generation where quick startup is required.

Conventional fuel cells use hydrogen gas as the fuel. Pure hydrogen gas, however, is difficult and costly to supply. Thus, hydrogen gas is typically supplied to a fuel cell using a reformer, which steam-reforms methanol and water at 200-300°C to a hydrogen-rich fuel gas containing carbon dioxide. Theoretically, the reformat gas consists of 75 vol% hydrogen and 25 vol% carbon dioxide. In practice, however, this gas also contains nitrogen, oxygen and, depending on the degree of purity, varying amounts of carbon monoxide (up to 1 vol%). This process is also complex, adds cost and has the potential for producing undesirable pollutants. The conversion of a liquid fuel directly into electricity would be desirable, as then a high storage density, system simplicity and retention of existing fueling infrastructure could be combined. In particular, methanol is an especially desirable fuel because it has a high energy density, a low cost and is produced from renewable resources. Thus, a relatively new type of fuel cell has been the subject of a great amount of interest - the direct methanol fuel cell. In a direct methanol fuel cell, the overall process that occurs is that methanol and oxygen react to form water and carbon dioxide and electricity, i.e., methanol combustion.

For the oxidation and reduction reactions in a fuel cell to proceed at useful rates, especially at operating temperatures below about 300 °C, electrocatalyst

materials are required at the electrodes. Initially, fuel cells used electrocatalysts made of a single metal, usually platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), rhodium (Rh), iridium (Ir), osmium (Os), silver (Ag) or gold (Au) because they are able to withstand the corrosive environment - platinum being the most efficient and stable single-metal catalyst for fuel cells operating below about 300°C. While these elements were first used in solid form, later techniques were developed to disperse these metals over the surface of electrically conductive supports (e.g., carbon black) to increase the surface area of the catalyst which in turn increased the number of reactive sites leading to improved efficiency of the cell. Nevertheless, fuel cell performance typically declines over time because the presence of electrolyte, high temperatures and molecular oxygen dissolve the catalyst and/or sinter the dispersed catalyst by surface migration or dissolution/re-precipitation (see, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 5,316,990).

Although platinum is a good catalyst, concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO) above about 10 ppm in the fuel can rapidly poison the catalyst surface. As a result, platinum is a poor catalyst if the fuel stream contains carbon monoxide (e.g., reformed-hydrogen gas typically exceeds 100 ppm). Liquid hydrocarbon-based fuels (e.g., methanol) present an even greater poisoning problem. Specifically, the surface of the platinum becomes blocked with the adsorbed intermediate, carbon monoxide (CO). It has been reported that H₂O plays a key role in the removal of such poisoning species in accordance with the following reactions:



As indicated by the foregoing reactions, the methanol is adsorbed and partially oxidized by platinum on the surface of the electrode (2). Adsorbed OH, from the hydrolysis of water (3), reacts with the adsorbed CO to produce carbon dioxide and a proton. However, platinum does not adsorb H₂O species well at the potentials fuel cell electrodes operate

(e.g., 200 mV-1.5 V). As a result, step (3) is the slowest step in the sequence, limiting the rate of CO removal thereby poisoning the catalyst. This applies in particular to a Proton exchange membrane fuel cell which is especially sensitive to CO poisoning as a result of its low operating temperatures.

One technique for alleviating fuel cell performance reduction due to anode CO poisoning is to employ an anode electrocatalyst which is itself more poison tolerant, but which still functions as a hydrogen oxidation catalyst in the presence of carbon monoxide. It is known that the tolerance of platinum poisoning by carbon monoxide is improved by alloying the platinum with ruthenium, preferably compositions centered around 50:50 atomic ratio (see, e.g., D. Chu and S. Gillman, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 1996, 143, 1685).

It has been reported that the success of the platinum-ruthenium catalyst alloys is based on the ability of ruthenium to adsorb H_2O species at potentials where methanol is adsorbing on the platinum and facilitate the carbon monoxide removal reaction. This dual function, that is, to adsorb both reactants on the catalyst surface on adjacent metal sites, is known as the bifunctional mechanism in accordance with the following reaction:



It has been suggested that having platinum and ruthenium in adjacent sites forms an active site on the catalyst surface where methanol is oxidized in a less poisoning manner because the adjacent metal atoms are more efficiently adsorbing the methanol and the water reactants.

Although knowledge of phase equilibria and heuristic bond strength/activity relationships provide some guidance in the search for more effective catalyst compositions, there is at present no way to calculate the chemical composition of different metals that will afford the best catalyst activity for the direct methanol-air fuel cell reaction. As such, the search continues for stable, CO poisoning resistant and less costly catalysts having increased electrochemical activities.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Among the objects of the invention are the preparation of catalysts based on platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium which have a high resistance to poisoning by carbon monoxide thereby improving the efficiency of a fuel cell, decreasing the size of a fuel cell and reducing the cost of operating a fuel cell.

Briefly, therefore, the present invention is directed to a catalyst composition for use in electrochemical reactor devices. The catalyst composition comprises platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

Also, the present invention is directed to a metal alloy composition comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

Additionally, the present invention is directed to a fuel cell electrode comprising a catalyst dispersed on the surface of an electrically conductive support, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

The present invention is further directed a fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane between the anode and the cathode, and an electrocatalyst for the catalytic oxidation of a hydrogen-containing fuel. The electrocatalyst comprises a metal alloy comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

In yet another aspect, the present invention is directed to a method for the electrochemical conversion of a hydrocarbon-based fuel and oxygen to water, carbon dioxide and electricity in a fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane electrolyte therebetween, and an electrically conductive external circuit connecting the anode and cathode. The method comprising contacting the hydrocarbon-based fuel with a metal alloy catalyst to catalytically oxidize the fuel, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

The foregoing and other features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the following description and accompanying drawing.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING

5 Fig. 1 is a schematic structural view showing essential members of a methanol fuel cell.

 Fig. 2 is a side view of a methanol fuel cell.

 Fig. 3 is a graph comparing the catalytic activity, at a constant voltage and as a function of time,
10 of several alloy compositions including PtRuPdOs alloys (Electrodes #2-16), PtPd binary alloys (Electrodes #17 and #18), and a PtRuPd ternary alloy (Electrode #1). The alloy compositions on Electrodes #1, #17 and #18 are not PtRuPdOs alloys - as such they are not within the scope of the
15 claimed invention.

 Fig. 4 is a graph comparing the catalytic activity of a PtRuPdOs alloy composition to a PtRuPd ternary alloy composition and a PtRu binary composition as a function of voltage.

20 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

 The present invention is directed to a multi-component noble metal alloy for use in fuel cells. In particular, the present invention is directed to alloy compositions comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and
25 osmium. Surprisingly, platinum-ruthenium-palladium-osmium alloy compositions possess significantly improved catalytic activity over platinum-ruthenium binary alloys and even previously reported platinum-ruthenium-palladium ternary alloys.

30 In general, the concentration of osmium in the alloy is at least about 1 atomic percent, and preferably at least about 4 atomic percent. The concentration of osmium is typically less than about 30 atomic percent, and preferably less than about 25 atomic percent. Preferably,
35 the concentration of osmium is from about 4 atomic percent to about 16 atomic percent, and more preferably from about 5 atomic percent to about 14 atomic percent. The

concentration of platinum in the alloy is typically from about 20 atomic percent to about 60 atomic percent, preferably from about 25 atomic percent to about 55 atomic percent, more preferably from about 35 atomic percent to about 55 atomic percent, and still more preferably from about 40 atomic percent to about 50 atomic percent. In general, the concentration of ruthenium in the alloy from about 10 atomic percent to about 40 atomic percent, preferably from about 15 atomic percent to about 35 atomic percent, and more preferably from about 15 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent. The concentration of palladium in the alloy is typically from about 5 atomic percent to about 35 atomic percent, preferably from about 10 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent, more preferably from about 15 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent, and still more preferably from about 20 atomic percent to about 30 atomic percent.

Experience to date suggests that when the combined concentrations of platinum and osmium are controlled within a prescribed range, the alloy possesses significantly improved catalytic activity over certain platinum-ruthenium binary alloys and even certain previously reported platinum-ruthenium-palladium ternary alloys. As such, improved catalytic activity has been observed when the combined concentrations of platinum and osmium are controlled such that the sum is from about 25 atomic percent to about 85 atomic percent, preferably from about 30 atomic percent to about 80 atomic percent, more preferably from about 35 atomic percent to about 75 atomic percent, still more preferably from about 40 atomic percent to about 70 percent, and even more preferably between about 45 atomic percent and about 65 atomic percent. Alternatively, the foregoing improvement in catalytic activity has been observed by controlling the combined concentrations of ruthenium and palladium such that the sum is from about 15 atomic percent to about 75 atomic percent, preferably from about 20 atomic percent to about 70 atomic percent, more preferably from about 25 atomic percent to about 65 atomic percent, still more preferably from about 30

atomic percent to about 60 percent, and even more preferably between about 35 atomic percent and about 55 atomic percent.

In one embodiment of the present invention, therefore, the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 20% to about 60% platinum, about 10% to about 40% ruthenium, about 5% to about 35% palladium, and less than about 30% osmium. In another embodiment of the present invention the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 25% to about 55% platinum, about 15% to about 35% ruthenium, about 10% to about 30% palladium, and less than about 25% osmium. In another embodiment of the present invention, the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 35% to about 55% platinum, about 15% to about 35% ruthenium, about 15% to about 30% palladium, and about 4% to about 16% osmium. In a further embodiment of the present invention, the PtRuPdOs alloy contains, in atomic percentages, about 40% to about 50% platinum, about 15% to about 30% ruthenium, about 20% to about 30% palladium, and about 5 to about 14% osmium. Specific alloys which have been found to exhibit a relatively high methanol oxidation activity include the alloys corresponding the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x, y and z have the following values.

25

30

x	y	z
43	22	24
39	28	28
51	15	20
36	32	28
54	14	18
52	15	15
55	15	14
54	16	10
53	16	10

Although the PtRuPdOs alloy compositions of the present invention can be used in a phosphoric acid fuel cell, they are particularly useful in a direct methanol fuel cell. As shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, a direct methanol fuel cell has a methanol electrode (fuel electrode or anode) 2 and an air electrode (oxidizer electrode or cathode) 3. In between the electrodes, a proton exchange membrane 3 serves as an electrolyte.

Preferably, in a fuel cell according to the present invention, the proton exchange membrane 1, the anode 2 and the cathode 3 are integrated into one body, and thus there is no contact resistance between the electrodes 2 and 3 and the proton exchange membrane 1. Current collectors 4 and 5 are at the anode and cathode, respectively. A methanol fuel chamber is indicated by numeral 8 and an air chamber is indicated by numeral 9. Numeral 6 is a sealant for the methanol fuel chamber and numeral 7 is a sealant for the air chamber. It is desirable to use a strongly acidic ion exchange membrane (e.g., perfluorosulphonic acid based membranes are widely used).

In general, electricity is generated by methanol combustion (i.e., methanol and oxygen react to form water, carbon dioxide and electricity). This is accomplished in the above-described fuel cell by introducing the methanol into the methanol fuel chamber 8, while oxygen, preferably air is introduced into the air chamber 9, whereby an electric current can be immediately withdrawn therefrom into an outer circuit. Ideally, the methanol is oxidized at the anode to produce carbon dioxide gas, hydrogen ions and electrons. The thus formed hydrogen ions migrate through the strongly acidic proton exchange membrane 1 and react with oxygen and electrons from the outer circuit at the cathode 3 to form water. Typically, the methanol is introduced as a dilute acidic solution to enhance the chemical reaction thereby increasing power output (e.g., a 0.1 M methanol/0.5 M sulfuric acid solution).

Typically, the proton exchange membranes must remain hydrated during operation of the fuel cell in order to prevent loss of ionic conduction, thus the membrane is

preferably heat-resistant up to about 100-120°C. Proton exchange membranes usually have reduction and oxidation stability, resistance to acid and hydrolysis, sufficiently low electrical resistivity (e.g., $<10 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$), and low hydrogen or oxygen permeation. Additionally, proton exchange membranes are usually hydrophilic, this ensures proton conduction (by reversed diffusion of water to the anode), and prevents the membrane from drying out thereby reducing the electrical conductivity. For the sake of convenience, the layer thickness of the membranes is typically between 50 and 200 μm . In general, the foregoing properties are achieved with materials which have no aliphatic hydrogen-carbon bonds, which, for example, is achieved by replacing hydrogen with fluorine or by the presence of aromatic structures; the proton conduction results from the incorporation of sulfonic acid groups (high acid strength). Suitable proton-conducting membranes also include perfluorinated sulfonated polymers such as Nafion® and its derivatives produced by E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Delaware. Nafion® is based on a copolymer made from tetrafluoroethylene and perfluorovinylether, and is provided with sulfonic groups working as ion-exchanging groups. Other suitable proton exchange membranes are produced with monomers such as perfluorinated compounds (e.g., octafluorocyclobutane and perfluorobenzene), or even monomers with C-H bonds which, in a plasma polymer, do not form any aliphatic H atoms which could constitute attack sites for oxidative breakdown.

In general, the electrodes of the present invention comprise an electrically conductive material and are in contact with the PdRuPtOs catalyst of the present invention. The electrically conductive support is typically inorganic, preferably a carbon support. The carbon supports may be predominantly amorphous or graphitic. They may be prepared commercially, or specifically treated to increase their graphitic nature (e.g., heat treated at a high temperature in vacuum or in an inert gas atmosphere) thereby increasing corrosion resistance. For example, it may be oil furnace black, acetylene black, graphite paper, carbon

fabric or carbon aerogel. Preferably, the electrode is designed to increase cell efficiency by enhancing contact between the reactant (i.e., fuel or oxygen), the electrolyte and the electrocatalyst. In particular, porous or gas diffusion electrodes are typically used since they allow the fuel/oxidizer to enter the electrode from the face of the electrode exposed to the reactant gas stream (back face), and the electrolyte to penetrate through the face of the electrode exposed to the electrolyte (front face), and products, particularly water to diffuse out of the electrode. Preferably, carbon black supports have a Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) surface area of between 0 and 2000 m²/g, and preferably between 30 and 400 m²/g, more preferably between 60 to 250 m²/g. On the other hand, the carbon aerogel preferably has an electrical conductivity of between 10⁻² and 10³ Ω⁻¹•cm⁻¹ and a density of between 0.06 and 0.7 g/cm³; the pore size is between 20 and 100 nm (porosity up to about 95%).

Preferably, the proton exchange membrane, electrodes and catalyst materials are in contact.. This is generally accomplished by depositing the catalyst either on the electrode, or the proton exchange membrane, and then the electrode and membrane placed in contact. The alloy catalysts of this invention can be deposited on either substrate by a variety of methods, including, plasma deposition, powder application, chemical plating, and sputtering. Plasma deposition generally entails depositing a thin layer (e.g., between 3 and 50 μm, preferably between 5 and 20 μm) of a catalyst composition on the membrane using low-pressure plasma. By way of example, an organic platinum compound such as trimethylcyclopentadienylplatinum is gaseous between 10⁻⁴ and 10 mbar and can be excited using radio-frequency, microwaves or an electron cyclotron resonance transmitter to deposit platinum on the membrane. According to another procedure, catalyst powder is distributed onto the proton exchange membrane surface and integrated at an elevated temperature under pressure. If, however, the amount of catalyst particles exceeds about 2 mg/cm² the inclusion of a binder such as

polytetrafluoroethylene is common. Further, the catalyst may be plated with dispersed relatively small particles, e.g., about 20-200 Å, more preferably about 20-100 Å. This increases the catalyst surface area which in turn increases the number of reaction sites leading to improved cell efficiency. In one such chemical plating process, for example, a powdery carrier material such as conductive carbon black is contacted with an aqueous solution or aqueous suspension (slurry) of compounds of metallic components constituting the alloy to permit adsorption or impregnation of the metallic compounds or their ions on or in the carrier. Then, while the slurry is stirred at high speed, a dilute solution of suitable fixing agent such as ammonia, hydrazine, formic acid or formalin is slowly added dropwise to disperse and deposit the metallic components on the carrier as insoluble compounds or partly reduced fine metal particles.

The surface concentration of catalyst on the membrane or electrode is based in part on the desired power output and cost for a particular fuel cell. In general, power output increases with increasing concentration, however, there is a level beyond which performance is not improved. Likewise, the cost of a fuel cell increases with increasing concentration. Thus, the surface concentration of catalyst is selected to meet the application requirements. For example, a fuel cell designed to meet the requirements of a demanding application such as an outer space vehicle will usually have a surface concentration of catalyst sufficient to maximize the fuel cell power output. Preferably, the desired power output is obtained with as little catalyst as possible. Typically, it is desirable that about 0.25 to about 6 mg/cm² of catalyst particles be in contact with the electrodes. If the surface concentration of catalyst particles is less than about 0.25 mg/cm², the cell performance usually declines, whereas, above about 6 mg/cm² the cell performance is usually not improved.

To promote contact between the collector, electrode, catalyst and membrane, the layers are usually compressed at high temperature. The housings of the

individual fuel cells are configured in such a way that a good gas supply is ensured, and at the same time the product water can be discharged properly. Typically, several fuel cells are joined to form stacks, so that the total power
5 output is increased to economically feasible levels.

In general, the catalyst and electrodes of the present invention may be used to catalyze any fuel containing hydrogen (e.g., hydrogen and reformed-hydrogen fuels). The improved catalytic activity of the PtRuPdOs
10 alloys, however, are particularly realized in the catalysis of hydrocarbon-based fuels. Applicable hydrocarbon-based fuels include saturated hydrocarbons such as methane (natural gas), ethane, propane and butane; garbage off-gas; oxygenated hydrocarbons such as methanol and ethanol; and
15 fossil fuels such as gasoline and kerosene; and mixtures thereof. The most preferred fuel, however, is methanol.

To achieve the full ion-conducting property of proton exchange membranes, suitable acids (gases or liquids) are typically added to the fuel. For example, SO_2 , SO_3 ,
20 sulfuric acid, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid or the fluoride thereof, also strongly acidic carboxylic acids such as trifluoroacetic acid, and volatile phosphoric acid compounds may be used (see, e.g., "Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.", Volume 98 (1994), pages 631 to 635).

25 Definitions

Activity is defined as the maximum sustainable, or steady state, current (Amps) obtained from the catalyst, when fabricated into an electrode, at a given electric potential, or efficiency (Volts). Additionally, because of
30 differences in the geometric area of electrodes, when comparing different catalysts, activity is often expressed in terms of current density (A/cm^2).

Example 1

A tremendous amount of research has concentrated
35 on exploring the activity of surface modified binary, and to a much lesser extent ternary and quaternary, alloys of platinum in an attempt to both increase the efficiency of and reduce the amount of precious metals in the anode part

of the fuel cell. Although electrodeposition was explored as a route to the synthesis of anode materials (see, e.g., F. Richarz et al. *Surface Science*, 1995, 335, 361), only a few compositions were actually prepared, and these
5 compositions were made using traditional single point electrodeposition techniques.

In contrast, the catalyst alloy compositions of this invention were prepared using the combinatorial techniques disclosed in U.S. Patent Application No.
10 09/119,187, filed July 20, 1998. Specifically, an array of independent electrodes (with areas of between about 1 and 2 mm²) were fabricated on inert substrates (e.g., glass, quartz, sapphire alumina, plastics, and thermally treated silicon). The individual electrodes were located
15 substantially in the center of the substrate, and were connected to contact pads around the periphery of the substrate with wires. The electrodes, associated wires, and contact pads were fabricated from conducting materials (e.g., gold, silver, platinum, copper or other commonly used
20 electrode materials). In a preferred embodiment, the arrays were fabricated on standard 3" (about 7.5 cm) thermally oxidized single crystal silicon wafers, and the electrodes were gold with surface areas of about 1.26 mm².

A patterned insulating layer covered the wires and an
25 inner portion of the peripheral contact pads, but left the electrodes and the outer portion of the peripheral contact pads exposed (preferably approximately half of the contact pad is covered with this insulating layer). Because of the insulating layer, it is possible to connect a lead (e.g., an
30 alligator clip) to the outer portion of a given contact pad and address its associated electrode while the array is immersed in solution, without having to worry about reactions that can occur on the wires or peripheral contact pads. The insulating layer may be, for example, glass,
35 silica, alumina, magnesium oxide, silicon nitride, boron nitride, yttrium oxide, titanium dioxide, hardened photoresist, or other suitable material known to be insulating in nature.

Once a suitable inert substrate was provided, in this case thermally oxidized single crystal silicon was selected, photolithographic techniques were used to design and fabricate electrode patterns on it. By applying a
5 predetermined amount of photoresist to the substrate, photolyzing preselected regions of the photoresist, removing those regions that have been photolyzed (e.g., by using an appropriate developer), depositing one or more metals over the entire surface and removing predetermined regions of
10 these metals (e.g. by dissolving the underlying photoresist), intricate patterns of individually addressable electrodes were fabricated on the substrate.

The fabricated arrays consisted of a plurality of individually addressable electrodes that were insulated from
15 each other (by adequate spacing) and from the substrate (fabricated on an insulating substrate), and whose interconnects were insulated from the electrochemical testing solution (by the hardened photoresist or other suitable insulating material).

20 Materials were deposited on the above described electrode arrays to prepare a library of compositions by the electrodeposition of species from solution using standard electrochemical methods. More specifically, the depositions were carried out by immersing the electrode array in a
25 standard electrochemical deposition chamber containing the array, a platinum mesh counter electrode, and a reference electrode (e.g., Ag/AgCl). The chamber was filled with a plating solution containing known amounts of source material to be deposited. By selecting a given electrode and
30 applying a predetermined potential for a predetermined amount of time, a particular composition of materials (which may or may not correspond to the exact composition of the plating solution) was deposited on the electrode surface. Variations in the compositions deposited may be obtained
35 either by directly changing the solution composition for each deposition or by using different electrochemical deposition techniques, or both. Examples of how one may change the electrode composition by changing the deposition technique can include: changing the deposition potential,

changing the length of the deposition time, varying the counter anions, using different concentrations of each species, and even using different electrochemical deposition programs (e.g., potentiostatic oxidation/reduction, galvanostatic oxidation/reduction, potential square-wave voltammetry, potential stair-step voltammetry, etc.). In any event, through repeated deposition steps, a variety of materials were deposited on the array.

After synthesizing the various alloy compositions on the array, the different alloys were screened for methanol oxidation to determine relative catalytic activity against a standard alloy composition.

Example 2

Using the procedures described in Example 1 to synthesize catalyst compositions by electrodeposition, the following aqueous stock solutions were prepared in 0.5 M sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4): 0.03 M platinum chloride (H_2PtCl_6), 0.05 M ruthenium chloride (RuCl_3), 0.03 M palladium chloride (PdCl_2), and 0.03 M osmium chloride (OsCl_3). The sulfuric acid merely served as an electrolyte thereby increasing the plating efficiency. A standard plating solution was created by combining 15 ml of the platinum chloride stock solution and 12 ml of the ruthenium chloride stock solution. The electrodes on the array were then immersed in the standard plating solution. A potential of -0.93 V vs Ag/AgCl was applied for 2 minutes to the first electrode (Electrode #17 in Fig. 3). The thickness of the layer deposited on the electrode ranged from about 1500 and about 2000 Å. The composition of the PtRu alloy plated under these conditions is represented by the formula $\text{Pt}_{0.65}\text{Ru}_{0.35}$.

To synthesize a PtRuPd alloy composition, an aliquot of the palladium chloride stock solution (e.g., 1 ml) was added to the standard PtRu plating solution and an electrode was then plated at -0.93 V vs. Ag/AgCl for 2 minutes (e.g. Electrode #1 corresponding to $\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$). Similarly, to synthesize a PtRuPdOs alloy composition, aliquots of palladium chloride and osmium chloride stock

solutions were added to the standard PtRu plating solution and an electrode was plated under the same conditions.

The amount of osmium in subsequently deposited alloys was increased by adding osmium chloride stock solution to the plating solution. Thus, a library of alloy compositions can be created by varying the relative amounts of different stock solutions in the plating solution (e.g., Electrodes #2-#16 in Fig. 3 were plated under identical conditions except that the relative amounts of the stock solutions were varied).

After synthesizing the various alloy compositions on the array, the different compositions were screened for methanol oxidation activity by placing the array into an electrochemical cell, which was filled with a room temperature solution of 1M methanol in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 . The cell also contained in Hg/HgSO_4 reference electrode and a platinum mesh counter electrode. Chronoamperometry measurements (i.e., holding a given electrode at a given potential and measuring the current that passes as a function of time) were then performed on all of the electrodes by pulsing each individual electrode to a potential of 0.3 V vs NHE (Normal Hydrogen Electrode) and holding it there for about 6 minutes while monitoring and recording the current that flowed. Of particular interest were alloy compositions which showed improved catalytic activity as compared to PtRu binary alloys in general, and preferably PtRu binary alloys with a relatively high activity (e.g., $\text{Pt}_{0.65}\text{Ru}_{0.35}$ and $\text{Pt}_{0.50}\text{Ru}_{0.50}$). Of even greater interest were alloy compositions which showed improved catalytic activity as compared to PtRuPd ternary alloys in general, and preferably the PtRuPd ternary alloys with a relatively high activity (e.g., $\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$).

Also of interest is the current v. time slope for the electrodes, and in particular, Electrodes #4 and #5 compared to that of Electrode #1. Specifically, the slope of the data for Electrode #4 ($\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$) and Electrode #5 ($\text{Pt}_{0.39}\text{Ru}_{0.28}\text{Pd}_{0.28}\text{Os}_{0.05}$) is less steep than that for Electrode #1 ($\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$) which indicates that those PtRuPdOs alloys, in addition to being more active than the

PtRuPd alloy, may be more resistant to carbon monoxide poisoning than the PtRuPd alloy.

Several electroplated alloys were analyzed using x-ray fluorescence (XRF) to determine their compositions.

5 It is commonly accepted that chemical compositions determined using x-ray fluorescence are within about 5% of the actual composition. A comparison of relative oxidation current (normalized to the most active catalyst, the alloy on Electrode #4) for several of the alloy compositions in
10 the library is provided in Table 1.

TABLE 1

	Pt in alloy (atomic %)	Ru in alloy (atomic %)	Pd in alloy (atomic %)	Os in alloy (atomic %)	Relative Oxidation Current	Electrode #
15	43	22	24	11	1	4
	39	28	28	5	0.96	3
	51	15	20	14	0.96	5
	36	32	28	4	0.83	2
	30	40	30	0	0.81	1
20	54	14	18	14	0.61	6
	52	15	15	18	0.53	9
	55	15	14	16	0.52	7
	54	16	10	20	0.38	12
	53	15	10	22	0.35	14
25	65	35	0	0	0.23	17
	50	50	0	0	0.21	18

All quaternary alloys listed in the above table have a relative methanol oxidation activity above the $Pt_{0.65}Ru_{0.35}$ and $Pt_{0.5}Ru_{0.50}$ alloys (i.e., Electrodes #17 and
30 #18). Further, the above PtRuPdOs alloys which have a relative methanol oxidation activity above the $Pt_{0.30}Ru_{0.40}Pd_{0.30}$ alloy include: platinum at about 43%, ruthenium at about 22%, palladium at about 24%, and osmium at about 11%, the most preferred embodiment; platinum at about 39%, ruthenium
35 at about 28%, palladium at about 28%, and osmium at about 5%; platinum at about 51%, ruthenium at about 15%, palladium

at about 20%, and osmium at about 14%; platinum at about 36%, ruthenium at about 32%, palladium at about 28%, and osmium at about 4%.

Three of the foregoing electroplated alloys were
5 subjected to powder synthesizing to gain information relevant to producing a fuel cell with dispersed catalyst compositions of the present invention. First, the plating solutions deposited on Electrodes #1, #4 and #5 were plated on a larger area electrode (about 1 cm²) and the plated alloy
10 was mechanically removed and its x-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrum was measured. Then the alloys were synthesized in powder form using a co-precipitation technique which entails slow dropwise additions of a 0.2 M NaBH₄ solution into a solution containing H₂PtCl₆, RuCl₃, PdCl₃, and OsCl₃. The
15 slurry was maintained at about 80°C for about 3 hours, filtered, vigorously washed with distilled water, and dried for about 4 hours at about 110°C. X-ray fluorescence was applied to the synthesized powder and the resultant spectrum was compared with that of the desired electroplated alloy.
20 When the XRF spectra are identical, the composition of the powder and electroplate alloys are identical. Typically, several iterations of alloy precipitation are necessary to produce a powder alloy composition which corresponds to the electroplated alloy. For the Electrode #1 alloy, a
25 precipitation solution containing 10 ml of 0.03 M H₂PtCl₆, 4 ml of 0.05 M RuCl₃, and 9 ml of 0.03 M PdCl₃ yielded a powder alloy catalyst of identical composition. The alloy powder was then analyzed with more precise techniques to confirm its composition. Rutherford backscattering
30 spectroscopy determined that the chemical composition of the precipitated powder alloys corresponding to Electrodes #1, #4 and #5 were Pt_{0.3}Ru_{0.4}Pd_{0.3}, Pt_{0.43}Ru_{0.22}Pd_{0.24}Os_{0.11}, and Pt_{0.51}Ru_{0.15}Pd_{0.20}Os_{0.14} respectively. It is commonly accepted that the chemical compositions determined using the
35 Rutherford backscattering method are within about 2% of the actual composition.

The activity of the most preferred alloy, Pt_{0.43}Ru_{0.22}Pd_{0.24}Os_{0.11}, was also compared to that of Pt₆₅Ru₃₅ and Pt_{0.30}Ru_{0.40}Pd_{0.30} as a function of increasing voltage (see, Fig.

4). Fig. 4 indicates that the $\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$ alloy oxidizes methanol at lower electrical potentials than the $\text{Pt}_{0.30}\text{Ru}_{0.40}\text{Pd}_{0.30}$ alloy or the $\text{Pt}_{65}\text{Ru}_{35}$ alloy. Also, the $\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$ alloy has a greater catalytic activity for
5 a given potential than the binary or ternary alloys. Further, the difference in catalytic activity between the $\text{Pt}_{0.43}\text{Ru}_{0.22}\text{Pd}_{0.24}\text{Os}_{0.11}$ alloy and the binary alloy or the ternary alloy increases with increasing voltage.

It is to be understood that the above description
10 is intended to be illustrative and not restrictive. Many embodiments will be apparent to those of skill in the art upon reading the above description. The scope of the invention should therefore be determined not with reference to the above description alone, but should also be
15 determined with reference to the claims and the full scope of equivalents to which such claims are entitled. The disclosures of all articles, patents and references, including patent applications and publications, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS

1. A catalyst composition for use in electrochemical reactor devices comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

2. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of osmium is from about 1% to about 25%.

3. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

4. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 25% to about 85%.

5. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 35% to about 75%.

6. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 45% to about 65%.

7. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 30% to about 60%.

8. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 20% to about 60%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 10% to about 40%, the concentration of palladium is from about 5% to about 35%, and the concentration of osmium is less than about 30%.

9. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 35% to about 55%, the concentration of ruthenium is from

about 15% to about 35%, the concentration of palladium is from about 15% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 4% to about 16%.

10. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 40% to about 50%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 30%, the concentration of palladium is from about 20% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

11. The catalyst composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is about 43%, the concentration of ruthenium is about 22%, the concentration of palladium is from about 24, and the concentration of osmium is about 11%.

12. A metal alloy composition comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

13. The metal alloy composition of claim 12 characterized by the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x is from about 0.25 to about 0.55, y is from about 0.15 to about 0.35, and z is from about 0.10 to about 0.30.

14. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the difference between 1 and the sum of x , y and z is at least about 0.04.

15. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the difference between 1 and the sum of y and z is from about 0.40 to about 0.70.

16. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the difference between 1 and the sum of y and z is from about 0.45 to about 0.65.

17. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where the sum of y and z is from about 0.35 to about 0.55.

18. A metal alloy composition of claim 12 characterized by the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x is from about 0.40 to about 0.50, y is from about 0.15 to about 0.30, and z is from about 0.20 to about 0.30.

19. The metal alloy composition of claim 13 where x is about 0.43, y is about 0.22, and z is about 0.24.

20. A fuel cell electrode, the electrode comprising a catalyst dispersed on the surface of an electrically conductive support, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

21. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of osmium is from about 4% to about 16%.

22. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 40% to about 70%.

23. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 30% to about 60%.

24. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 35% to about 55%.

25. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 20% to about 60%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 10% to about 40%, the concentration of palladium is from about 5% to about 35%, and the concentration of osmium is less than about 30%.

26. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about

35% to about 55%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 35%, the concentration of palladium is from about 15% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 4% to about 16%.

5 27. The fuel cell electrode of claim 20 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 40% to about 50%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 30%, the concentration of palladium is from about 20% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

5 28. A fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane between the anode and the cathode, and an electrocatalyst for the catalytic oxidation of a hydrogen-containing fuel, the fuel cell characterized in that the electrocatalyst comprises a metal alloy comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

29. The fuel cell of claim 28 wherein the electrocatalyst is characterized by the empirical formula $Pt_xRu_yPd_zOs_{1-x-y-z}$ where x is from about 0.20 to about 0.60, y is from about 0.10 to about 0.40, and z is from about 0.05 to about 0.35.

30. The fuel cell of claim 29 where the difference between 1 and the sum of y and z is from about 0.45 to about 0.65.

31. The fuel cell of claim 29 where the sum of y and z is from about 0.30 to about 0.60.

32. The fuel cell of claim 28 wherein the electrocatalyst is on the surface of the proton exchange membrane and in contact with the anode.

33. The fuel cell of claim 28 wherein the electrocatalyst is on the surface of the anode and in contact with the proton exchange membrane.

34. A method for the electrochemical conversion of a hydrocarbon-based fuel and oxygen to water, carbon dioxide and electricity in a fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a proton exchange membrane electrolyte therebetween, an electrically conductive external circuit connecting the anode and cathode, the method comprising contacting the hydrocarbon-based fuel with a metal alloy catalyst to catalytically oxidize the fuel, the catalyst comprising platinum, ruthenium, palladium and osmium.

35. The method of claim 34 wherein the sum of the concentration of platinum and osmium, in atomic percent, is from about 40% to about 70%.

36. The method of claim 34 wherein the sum of the concentration of ruthenium and palladium, in atomic percent, is from about 35% to about 55%.

37. The method of claim 34 wherein the concentration, in atomic percent, of platinum is from about 40% to about 50%, the concentration of ruthenium is from about 15% to about 30%, the concentration of palladium is from about 20% to about 30%, and the concentration of osmium is from about 5% to about 14%.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3


Methanol Oxidation Currents of Electroplated Alloy Compositions
-0.3 V vs. NHE



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

FIG. 4

Current Density as a Function of Voltage
Measured in Aqueous Methanol (0.1M) and H₂SO₄ (0.5M)



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern Application No

PCT/US 00/11327

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 H01M4/92 H01M8/10 B01J23/42 B01J23/46 B01J23/44
C22C5/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H01M B01J C22C

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, CHEM ABS Data, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 650 762 A (MASUMOTO TSUYOSHI ; INOE AKIHISA (JP); YKK CORP (JP); HONDA MOTOR C) 3 May 1995 (1995-05-03) claims 1-9	1,12,34
X	GB 2 056 424 A (JOHNSON MATTHEY CO LTD) 18 March 1981 (1981-03-18) claims 1-10	1,12,20
A	US 5 856 036 A (LEY KEVIN L ET AL) 5 January 1999 (1999-01-05) claims 1-6	1-37
A	EP 0 690 119 A (EXXON RESEARCH ENGINEERING CO) 3 January 1996 (1996-01-03) claims 1-11	1-37



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

G document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 December 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

12/12/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Battistig, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 00/11327

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0650762 A	03-05-1995	JP 7116517 A DE 69422472 D DE 69422472 T US 5635439 A	09-05-1995 10-02-2000 27-07-2000 03-06-1997
GB 2056424 A	18-03-1981	CA 1152969 A DE 3029948 A FR 2462928 A JP 56070823 A SE 8005540 A	30-08-1983 26-02-1981 20-02-1981 13-06-1981 09-02-1981
US 5856036 A	05-01-1999	AU 6670398 A WO 9840161 A	29-09-1998 17-09-1998
EP 0690119 A	03-01-1996	NONE	

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record.

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.